arXiv:1209.1185v1 [math-ph] 6 Sep 2012

CHARACTERIZATION OF POINT TRANSFORMATIONS IN QUANTUM MECHANICS

Yoshio Ohnuki and Shuji Watanabe

Abstract

We characterize point transformations in quantum mechanics from the mathematical viewpoint. To conclude that the canonical variables given by each point transformation in quantum mechanics correctly describe the extended point transformation, we show that they are all selfadjoint operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that the continuous spectrum of each coincides with $\mathbb{R}$. They are also shown to satisfy the canonical commutation relations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B25, 81Q10.
KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Point transformation, quantum mechanics, selfadjointness, spectrum, canonical commutation relations.

1 Introduction

In classical mechanics the coordinate transformation

$$\begin{cases} f:\, x=(x_1,\, x_2,\, \dots,\, x_n)\mapsto X=(X_1,\, X_2,\, \dots,\, X_n), \\ X_\alpha = f_\alpha(x) \qquad (\alpha=1,2,\dots,n) \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

is called a point transformation, where

$$x\in D^n \tag{1.2}$$

and the existence of f^{-1} is assumed. In classical mechanics the domain D^n does not always coincide with $\mathbb{R}^n$; it is sufficient for D^n to involve the trajectory of a physical system under consideration.

It is known that the point transformation can be extended to a canonical transformation (see e.g. Whittaker [4, p.293])

$$(x_1,\dots,x_n,p_1,\dots,p_n)\mapsto(X_1,\dots,X_n,P_1,\dots,P_n),$$

which is called an extended point transformation and is given by

$$\begin{cases} X_\alpha = f_\alpha(x), \\ P_\alpha = \displaystyle\sum_{\beta=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha} p_\beta. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Here the canonical momenta p_α and P_α are conjugate to x_α and X_α, respectively. Let $[A,\, B]_{\mathrm{cl}}$ stand for the classical Poisson bracket for $A(x,\, p)$ and $B(x,\, p)$:

$$[A,\, B]_{\mathrm{cl}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial B}{\partial p_\alpha} - \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial A}{\partial p_\alpha} \right).$$

The canonical variables x_α and p_α obey the relations

$$[x_\alpha,\, p_\beta]_{\mathrm{cl}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad [x_\alpha,\, x_\beta]_{\mathrm{cl}} = [p_\alpha,\, p_\beta]_{\mathrm{cl}} = 0.$$

Then it is known that the new canonical variables X_α and P_α also obey

$$[X_\alpha,\, P_\beta]_{\rm cl} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad [X_\alpha,\, X_\beta]_{\rm cl} = [P_\alpha,\, P_\beta]_{\rm cl} = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

As an example, let us consider the point transformation $f : (x_1,\, x_2) \mapsto (r,\, \theta)$ from cartesian to plane polar coordinates. Here $(x_1,\, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The existence of f together with f^{-1} implies $(x_1,\, x_2) \in D^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,\, 0)\}$. We are thus led to the extended point transformation $(x_1,\, x_2,\, p_1,\, p_2) \mapsto (r,\, \theta,\, p_r,\, p_\theta)$. Here the canonical momenta p_r and p_θ are conjugate to r and θ, respectively.

In quantum mechanics, however, the situation is quite different. It is known that the continuous spectrum of each canonical variable in quantum mechanics coincides with $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the point transformation $f : (x_1,\, x_2) \mapsto (r,\, \theta)$ from cartesian to plane polar coordinates is no longer allowed within the frame work of quantum mechanics. Hence the extended point transformation $(x_1,\, x_2,\, p_1,\, p_2) \mapsto (r,\, \theta,\, p_r,\, p_\theta)$ is not allowed any longer. In fact, if it were allowed, then r, θ, p_r and p_θ would satisfy the canonical commutation relations. But this is not the case, because this clearly contradicts positivity of r and boundedness of θ.

The purpose of this paper is to characterize point transformations in quantum mechanics from the mathematical viewpoint. To this end we begin with defining a point transformation in classical mechanics and also that in quantum mechanics. Then, following DeWitt [1], we define the new canonical momentum P_α conjugate to X_α in quantum mechanics. They *should* be selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space and the continuous spectrum of each *should* coincide with $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, they *should* satisfy the canonical commutation relations. To conclude that the new canonical variables X_α and P_α correctly describe the extended point transformation in quantum mechanics, we show that they are all selfadjoint operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that the continuous spectrum of each of X_α and P_α coincides with $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we show that they satisfy the canonical commutation relations.

For simplicity, we use the unit $\hbar = 1$ throughout this paper.

2 Main results

In classical mechanics the new canonical variables X_α and P_α given by (1.3) are required to obey (1.4), and hence the map f is a C^2-diffeomorphism.

Definition 2.1. We say that the map f is a point transformation in classical mechanics if f is a C^2-diffeomorphism and satisfies (1.1), (1.2).

Remark 2.1. Let $(x_1,\, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The coordinate transformation $f : (x_1,\, x_2) \mapsto (r,\, \theta)$ from cartesian to plane polar coordinates is a point transformation in classical mechanics. The domain D^2 of the map f does not contain the origin, i.e., $D^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,\, 0)\}$. Hence, r, θ, p_r and p_θ are canonical variables in classical mechanics:

$$[r,\, p_r]_{\rm cl} = [\theta,\, p_\theta]_{\rm cl} = 1, \quad [r,\, \theta]_{\rm cl} = [r,\, p_\theta]_{\rm cl} = [\theta,\, p_r]_{\rm cl} = [p_r,\, p_\theta]_{\rm cl} = 0.$$

In quantum mechanics the operators x_α and p_α are assumed to obey the canonical commutation relations

$$[x_\alpha,\, p_\beta] = i\, \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad [x_\alpha,\, x_\beta] = [p_\alpha,\, p_\beta] = 0,$$

where $[A,\, B] = AB - BA$. Let x_α be the multiplication by x_α. Then

$$p_\alpha = -i\, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.2. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bijective map satisfying

$$\begin{cases} f :\, x = (x_1,\, x_2,\, \ldots,\, x_n) \mapsto X = (X_1,\, X_2,\, \ldots,\, X_n), \\ X_\alpha = f_\alpha(x) \qquad (\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, n). \end{cases}$$

We say that the map f is a point transformation in quantum mechanics if f is a C^3-diffeomorphism.

Remark 2.2. Since the operator x_α is the multiplication by x_α, the operator X_α is also the multiplication by $f_\alpha(x)$.

Remark 2.3. Let $(x_1,\, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The coordinate transformation $f : (x_1,\, x_2) \mapsto (r,\, \theta)$ from cartesian to plane polar coordinates is *not* a point transformation in quantum mechanics. This is because the domain of the map f does not coincide with $\mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore, r, θ, p_r and p_θ are not canonical variables in quantum mechanics, and hence one can not impose the following relations

$$[r,\, p_r] = [\theta,\, p_\theta] = i, \quad [r,\, \theta] = [r,\, p_\theta] = [\theta,\, p_r] = [p_r,\, p_\theta] = 0.$$

Let P_α $(\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ denote the canonical momenta conjugate to X_α. Following DeWitt, we define P_α in quantum mechanics.

Definition 2.3 (DeWitt [1]). The new canonical variables X_α and P_α in quantum mechanics are defined by

$$\begin{cases} X_\alpha = f_\alpha(x), \\ P_\alpha = \dfrac{1}{2} \displaystyle\sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha}\, p_\beta + p_\beta\, \frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha} \right). \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.4. DeWitt [1] also showed that for X_α and P_α, the operator p_β is uniquely given by

$$p_\beta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial X_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta}\, P_\alpha + P_\alpha \frac{\partial X_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} \right).$$

Interchanging, in this equality, the small and the capital letters we obtain the equality for P_α in Definition 2.3.

Remark 2.5. Combining Definition 2.3 with (2.1) yields

$$P_\alpha = -i \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \left(\frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha} \right).$$

The operators X_α and P_α act on functions of x_α's.

We denote by $\sigma(A)$ (resp. by $\sigma_c(A)$) the spectrum (resp. the continuous spectrum) of an operator A. Let $\dot{P}_\alpha$ denote the operator P_α restricted $C_0^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $\overline{\dot{P}_\alpha}$ denote the closure of $\dot{P}_\alpha$. Since the point transformation f in quantum mechanics is a C^3-diffeomorphism (Definition 2.2), $\dot{P}_\alpha$ is a symmetric operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.1. (a) *The operator X_α is selfadjoint on $D(X_\alpha) = \{u(x) : u,\, f_\alpha(x)u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$.*

(b) *The set $\mathbb{R}$ coincides with the continuous spectrum of the selfadjoint operator X_α given by* (a), *i.e., $\sigma(X_\alpha) = \sigma_c(X_\alpha) = \mathbb{R}$.*

(c) *The operator $\dot{P}_\alpha$ is essentially selfadjoint.*

(d) *The set $\mathbb{R}$ coincides with the continuous spectrum of the selfadjoint operator $P_\alpha = \overline{\dot{P}_\alpha}$ given by* (c), *i.e., $\sigma(P_\alpha) = \sigma_c(P_\alpha) = \mathbb{R}$.*

We denote by P_α the selfadjoint operator $\overline{\dot{P}_\alpha}$ given by Theorem 2.1 (c).

Theorem 2.2. *The operators X_α and P_α satisfy the canonical commutation relations:*

$$[X_\alpha,\, P_\beta]u = i\, \delta_{\alpha\beta}\, u, \quad [X_\alpha,\, X_\beta]u = [P_\alpha,\, P_\beta]u = 0$$

for $u \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We denote by $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ the inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$(u,\, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)\, \overline{v(x)}\, dx\,, \quad u,\, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We deal with only the case

$$J(x) = \frac{\partial(X_1, \ldots, X_n)}{\partial(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} > 0, \tag{2.2}$$

since we can deal with another case $J(x) < 0$ in a similar manner.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1 (c)

In this section we show that

$$\ker(\dot{P}_\alpha^* \pm i) = \{0\}$$

to prove Theorem 2.1 (c). Here $\dot{P}_\alpha^*$ denotes the adjoint operator of $\dot{P}_\alpha$.

Let $u \in \ker(\dot{P}_\alpha^* \pm i)$ and set

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}\left(\frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha}\right) \pm 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Then $a \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Both u and a are functions of x_α's, and hence of X_α's because of Definition 2.2. We now consider the following integral:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(x(X))^{-1}\, u(x(X)) \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} + a(x(X))\right\} \overline{\Phi(X)}\, dX, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Phi \in C_0^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a function of X_α's.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \in \ker(\dot{P}_\alpha^* \pm i)$ and let a be given by* (3.1). *Then for $\Phi \in C_0^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(x(X))^{-1}\, u(x(X)) \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} + a(x(X))\right\} \overline{\Phi(X)}\, dX = 0.$$

Proof. The substitution $X = f(x)$ (see Definition 2.2) turns (3.2) into

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(x(X))^{-1}\, u(x(X)) \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} + a(x(X))\right\} \overline{\Phi(X)}\, dX \\ =\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) \left\{\sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} + a(x)\right\} \overline{\Phi(X(x))}\, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\phi(x) = \Phi(X(x))$. Then $\phi \in C_0^3(\mathbb{R}^n) = D(\dot{P}_\alpha)$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) \left\{\sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} + a(x)\right\} \overline{\Phi(X(x))}\, dx = -i\left(u, \left(\dot{P}_\alpha \mp i\right)\phi\right) = 0.$$

The lemma follows. □

Set

$$U(X) = J(x(X))^{-1}\, u(x(X)) \quad \text{and} \quad A(X) = a(x(X)), \tag{3.3}$$

where $u \in \ker(\dot{P}_\alpha^* \pm i)$ and a is given by (3.1). Note that U and A are functions of X_α's. Let

$$\Omega = (a_1,\, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha) \times \cdots \times (a_n,\, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.4}$$

where $a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|a_\alpha|,\, |b_\alpha| < \infty$ $(\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. Since $\Phi \in C_0^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we let $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Here Ω is given by (3.4) and $X = (X_1,\, \ldots,\, X_\alpha,\, \ldots,\, X_n) \in \Omega$. Lemma 3.1 also holds for $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$U,\, AU \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega).$$

Lemma 3.1 thus implies the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Let U and A be as in* (3.3). *Then there is the weak derivative $D_\alpha U$ of U satisfying*

$$D_\alpha U = AU, \qquad D_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\,.$$

Let us regard U and A as functions of X_α only, where $a_\alpha < X_\alpha < b_\alpha$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* U *be given by* (3.3) *and let* Ω *be as in* (3.4). *Then*

$$U \in C^1\left(a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha\right).$$

Proof. It is easy to see from (2.2) that $U \in L^2(\Omega)$ since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |U(X)|^2\, dX &\leq \sup_{X\in\Omega}\left\{J(x(X))^{-1}\right\}\cdot\int_\Omega J(x(X))^{-1}|\, u(x(X))\,|^2\, dX\\ &= \sup_{X\in\Omega}\left\{J(x(X))^{-1}\right\}\cdot\int_{f^{-1}(\Omega)}|\, u(x)\,|^2\, dx\\ &< \infty,\end{aligned}$$

where $f^{-1}(\Omega)$ is the image of Ω under the inverse of the map f. Hence $U \in L^2(a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha)$, which implies $AU \in L^2(a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha)$. Therefore, $D_\alpha U = AU \in L^2(a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha)$, and hence

$$U \in H^1(a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha).$$

Since $A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that $AU \in H^1(a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha)$. Therefore, $D_\alpha U \in H^1(a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha)$. Thus

$$U \in H^2(a_\alpha,\, b_\alpha).$$

The lemma follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem (see e.g. Goldstein [2, p.135] or Reed and Simon [3, p.52]). □

Noting Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we now solve

$$D_\alpha U = AU, \qquad D_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}$$

to obtain the solution explicitly. It follows from (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}\left(\frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha}\right) \mp 1\right\} J(x)^{-1} u(x(X)) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}\left(\frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha}\right) \mp 1\right\} u(x(X)) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Here we used the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4.

$$\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}J(x)\right\} J(x)^{-1} = -\sum_{\beta=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}\left(\frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha}\right).$$

Proof. A straightforward calculation gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}J(x) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n}\begin{vmatrix} \dfrac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_1} & \dfrac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \cdots & \dfrac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dfrac{\partial X_{\lambda-1}}{\partial x_1} & \dfrac{\partial X_{\lambda-1}}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \cdots & \dfrac{\partial X_{\lambda-1}}{\partial x_n} \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\Big(\dfrac{\partial X_\lambda}{\partial x_1}\Big) & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\Big(\dfrac{\partial X_\lambda}{\partial x_2}\Big) & \cdots & \cdots & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\Big(\dfrac{\partial X_\lambda}{\partial x_n}\Big) \\ \dfrac{\partial X_{\lambda+1}}{\partial x_1} & \dfrac{\partial X_{\lambda+1}}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \cdots & \dfrac{\partial X_{\lambda+1}}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dfrac{\partial X_n}{\partial x_1} & \dfrac{\partial X_n}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \cdots & \dfrac{\partial X_n}{\partial x_n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}J(x)\right\}J(x)^{-1} &= \sum_{\lambda,\beta=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial X_\lambda}{\partial x_\beta}\right)\cdot\frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\lambda} \\ &= -\sum_{\lambda,\beta=1}^{n}\frac{\partial X_\lambda}{\partial x_\beta}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\lambda}\right) \\ &= -\sum_{\beta=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}\left(\frac{\partial x_\beta}{\partial X_\alpha}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us continue the proof of Theorem 2.1 (c). Set

$$u(x(X)) = \sqrt{J(x(X))}\,v(x(X)).$$

Applying again Lemma 3.4 turns (3.5) into

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\mp 1\right)v(x(X)) = 0.$$

Therefore, $v(x(X)) = C(X_1,\dots,X_{\alpha-1},X_{\alpha+1},\dots,X_n)e^{\pm X_\alpha}$, and hence

$$u(x(X)) = C(X_1,\dots,X_{\alpha-1},X_{\alpha+1},\dots,X_n)\sqrt{J(x(X))}\,e^{\pm X_\alpha}\,.$$

Here the function C does not depend on X_α. Since $u\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\pm 2X_\alpha}\,dX_\alpha\,\times \\ &\qquad\times\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}|C(X_1,\dots,X_{\alpha-1},X_{\alpha+1},\dots,X_n)|^2 dX_1\cdots dX_{\alpha-1}dX_{\alpha+1}\cdots dX_n<\infty\,.\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\pm 2X_\alpha}\,dX_\alpha = \infty\,.$$

Hence $C(X_1,\dots,X_{\alpha-1},X_{\alpha+1},\dots,X_n)=0$, which implies $u=0$. Thus

$$\ker(\dot{P}_\alpha^*\pm i) = \{0\}\,.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 (c) is complete.

4 Proofs of the rest

In this section we prove the rest of our main results from the viewpoint of unitary equivalence.

Let $u\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since each point transformation in quantum mechanics is a C^3-diffeomorphism, the function $x\mapsto u(x)/\sqrt{J(x)}$ can be regarded as a function of X_α's. We therefore set

$$\tilde{u}(X) = \frac{u(x(X))}{\sqrt{J(x(X))}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\tilde{u}$ is a function of X_α's. A straightforward calculation gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\tilde{u}(X)|^2\,dX = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|u(x)|^2\,dx<\infty.$$

Hence $\tilde{u}\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let us define $U: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$U:\,u\longmapsto\tilde{u},$$

where u (resp. $\tilde{u}$) is a function of x_α's (resp. of X_α's).

Lemma 4.1. *The operator* $U : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is unitary and satisfies the following.*
(a) $UC_0^3(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
(b) $UC_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. The lemma follows immediately from Definition 2.2 and (4.1). □

We denote by $-i\dfrac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}$ the operator

$$-i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} : \tilde{u} \longmapsto -i\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial X_\alpha}$$

with domain

$$\begin{aligned} & D(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}) \\ = \; & \left\{ \tilde{u}(X) : \tilde{u} \text{ is absolutely continuous with respect to } X_\alpha, \; \tilde{u}, \; \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial X_\alpha} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the operator $-i\dfrac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}$ acts on functions of X_α's. On the other hand, the operator P_α acts on functions of x_α's (see Remark 2.5).

Let $\ddot{P}_\alpha$ denote the operator P_α restricted $C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $\overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha}$ denote the closure of $\ddot{P}_\alpha$. A straightforward calculation gives the following.

Lemma 4.2. $\ddot{P}_\alpha u = U^* \left(-i\dfrac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\right) Uu, \quad u \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$

Lemma 4.3. $\overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha} = U^* \left(-i\dfrac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\right) U.$

Proof. *Step 1* We show

$$\overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha} \subset U^* \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\right) U.$$

For $u \in D(\overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha})$, there is a sequence $\{u_m\}_m \subset C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$u_m \to u, \qquad \ddot{P}_\alpha u_m \to \overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha} u \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Combining Lemma 4.1 with Lemma 4.2 yields

$$Uu_m \to Uu, \qquad \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\right) Uu_m \to U\overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha} u \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Lemma 4.1 (b) implies that $Uu_m \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset D(-i\,\partial/\partial X_\alpha)$. Since $-i\,\partial/\partial X_\alpha$ is a closed operator,

$$Uu \in D(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}), \qquad U^* \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\right) Uu = \overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha} u.$$

Step 2 We next show

$$\overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha} \supset U^* \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\right) U.$$

For $v \in U^* D(-i\,\partial/\partial X_\alpha)$, we set $\tilde{v} = Uv$. Then $\tilde{v} \in D(-i\,\partial/\partial X_\alpha)$. Let us recall here that

$$\text{the operator } -i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} \text{ with domain } C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is essentially selfadjoint.}$$

Hence there is a sequence $\{\tilde{v}_m\}_m \subset C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\tilde{v}_m \to \tilde{v}, \qquad -i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\tilde{v}_m \to -i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha}\tilde{v} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Set $v_m = U^* \tilde{v}_m$. Then $v_m \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Lemma 4.1 (b). Combining Lemma 4.1 with Lemma 4.2 again yields

$$U^* \tilde{v}_m \to v, \qquad \ddot{P}_\alpha U^* \tilde{v}_m \to U^* \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} \right) U v \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Therefore,

$$v \in D(\overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha}), \qquad \overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha} v = U^* \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} \right) U v.$$

The lemma follows. □

Theorem 2.1 (c) immediately implies the following.

Corollary 4.4. *The operator $\ddot{P}_\alpha$ is essentially selfadjoint.*

Combining Lemma 4.1 with Lemma 4.3 also implies Corollary 4.4. Moreover, it is easy to see that the following holds.

Lemma 4.5. $\overline{\dot{P}_\alpha} = \overline{\ddot{P}_\alpha} = U^* \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} \right) U.$

We denote by M_{X_α} the multiplication byX_α:

$$M_{X_\alpha} : \tilde{u} \longmapsto X_\alpha \tilde{u}$$

with domain

$$D(M_{X_\alpha}) = \left\{ \tilde{u}(X) : \tilde{u},\, X_\alpha \tilde{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}.$$

Note that the operator M_{X_α} acts on functions of X_α's. On the other hand, the operator X_α acts on functions of x_α's (see Remark 2.5). A straightforward calculation gives the following.

Lemma 4.6. *The operators X_α and M_{X_α} are unitarily equivalent, i.e.,*

$$X_\alpha = U^* M_{X_\alpha} U.$$

Each of Theorem 2.1 (a), (b), (d) and Theorem 2.2 immediately follows from Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6.

5 An example of a point transformation in quantum mechanics

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The coordinate transformation

$$x \longmapsto X = \sinh x$$

is a point transformation in quantum mechanics. The corresponding extended point transformation is

$$\left(x,\, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \longmapsto \left(X = \sinh x,\, P = -i \frac{1}{\cosh x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} \tanh x \right) \right).$$

Therefore, the operators X and P acting on functions of x are both canonical variables in quantum mechanics.

References

[1] DeWitt, B.S., *Point transformations in quantum mechanics*, Phys. Rev. **85** (1952), 653–661.

[2] Goldstein, J.A., *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985/Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

[3] Reed, M. and Simon, B., *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II, Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[4] Whittaker, E.T., *A Treatise on the Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies*, 4th ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, 1937.

Y. OHNUKI
(former address) Nagoya Women's University
1302 Takamiya, Tempaku, Nagoya 468-8507, Japan
e-mail address: ohnuki@nagoya-wu.ac.jp

S. WATANABE
(former address) Department of Electronics and Information Engineering
Aichi University of Technology
50-2 Manori, Nishihazama-cho, Gamagouri 443-0047, Japan
e-mail address: watanabe@aut.ac.jp